RECEIVEDIO. 187 P. 3 CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 4 2008

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (09-08)
Approved for use through 10/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW Docket Number (Optional)				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the Faxol Application Number United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF. Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] onOLDER 14, 2008 First Named Inventor SignatureAdd M. Musabi: 3714 Typed or printed Add M. Musabi: 3714 KANG Hu				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the Fax United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addresses to "Mail Stop AF. Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on October 14, 2008 First Named Inventor Signature Add Musch Typed or printed Add Musch				
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addresses to "Mail Stop AF. Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on October 14, 2008 Signature Other 14, 2008 First Named Inventor Typed or printed Adil M. Mussin 3714 KANG Hu				
in an envelope addresses to "Mail Stop AF. Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on October 14, 2008 Signature Odd Must Turn Typed or printed name Adil M. Muschi: Typed or printed Adil M. Muschi: Typed or printed Adil M. Muschi: Typed Number Adil M. Musch				
on October 14, 2008 Signature October 14, 2008 First Named Inventor UHLIR An Unit Examiner Typed or printed Adil M. Musabi: 3714 KANG Hu				
Signature Odis Muss : UHLIR Typed or printed Adil M. Muss; 3714 KANG Hu				
Typed or printed Adil M. Musabj: Art Unit Examiner Typed or printed Adil M. Musabj: 3714 KANG Hu				
Typed or printed Adil M. Musabj: Art Unit Examiner Typed or printed Adil M. Musabj: 3714 KANG Hu				
Typed or printed Adil M. Musabj: 3714 KANG Hu				
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being 6				
	امماة			
with this request.	IIEG			
'				
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.				
Note. No more than live (5) pages may be provided.				
lam the				
- Ali m 1-				
applicant/inventor.				
assignee of record of the entire interest.				
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.				
(Form PTO/SB/96) Typed or printed name				
attorney or agent of record. Registration number (312) 740 - 3.54				
Registration number Telephone number				
Telephone number				
VI attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34, 58728 Ochober 14, 2008				
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 58728 October 14, 2w8				
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.				
Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.				

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Sex 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 4 2008

P. 4

NO. 187

PATENT Case No. N0189US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	Application of: Kurt Brooks Uhlir, et al.)
Serial No. 10/825,574) Group: 3714
Title:	METHOD FOR COMPARING PERFORMANCES ON REMOTELY LOCATED COURSES) Examiner:) Kang Hu)
Filed:	April 15, 2004	,

REASONS FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants request review of the Final Office Action mailed May 14, 2008 ("the Final Office Action") and the Advisory Action mailed September 23, 2008 ("the Advisory Action") because the Examiner made material errors of fact and law in rejecting the Applicants' claims. As explained below, the Examiner's rejection of the claims is premised on factual and legal errors, and, therefore, the rejection should be withdrawn.

Ser. No. 10/825,574

<u>Pre-Appeal Brief</u> dated October 14, 2008

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 2-8, 11-14, 17-19, 23, and 36-38 are currently pending. Claims 36-38 are independent claims. Claims 2-8, 11-14, 17-19, 23, and 36-38 stand rejected as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,463,385 ("Fry") in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,080,063 ("Khosla").

II. <u>CLAIMED INVENTION</u>

Applicants pending claims relate to facilitating performances in events, such as facilitating a first performance by a participant in an event that includes movement along a first course located in a first geographic area. For example, independent claim 36 recites, *inter alia*, "comparing the first performance to a second performance, wherein the second performance is along the second course" in which the second course is "located in a second geographic area different from the first geographic area" of the first course. Independents claims 37 and 38 recite similar features.

III. CLEAR FACTUAL DEFICIENCY OF THE REJECTION

In the Final Office Action (page3), Examiner Hu asserted, without specific citation, that Fry teaches comparing a first performance (along a first course) to a second performance, wherein the second performance is along a second course that is in a different geographic area than the first course.

In Applicants' response, submitted August 14, 2008, Applicants pointed out that the combination of the cited references did not disclose all the claimed features. Specifically, Applicants asserted that Fry discloses a sports-related measurement system having an integral global satellite positioning ("GPS") receiver and computer interfacing capability. (Fry, column 2, lines 45-48). Fry discloses monitoring performance characteristics between individuals on one particular course in which the individuals can rate their own performances relative to others (Fry, column 7, lines 35-39 and 54-59), not comparing performances occurring on two separate courses in different geographic areas.

Ser. No. 10/825,574

Pre-Appeal Brief dated October 14, 2008

In the Advisory Action, Examiner Hu asserted that Fry teaches comparing performances at two different courses by pointing to column 1, lines 55-60 and column 2, lines 5-10 of Fry.

Within the Background section of Fry, column 1, lines 55-60 mention the U.S patent 5,335,188 ("Brisson") that discloses a device for monitoring and comparing present, past, and ideal performance on an exercise machine such as a bicycle. The system stores a set of performance data in memory, which can then be compared against a stored, user selected performance data.

However, there is no suggestion or teaching of comparing performance data on a first course to performance data on a second course that is located in a different geographic area than the first course. Actually, Brisson teaches comparing performance data regarding performances on the same course or training course. (Brisson, column 2, lines 40-64 and column 5, lines 6-30). For example, if the cycle computer in Brisson is showing an elapsed time for a current ride, it also shows the amount of time that was required to reach the same point along the course (Brisson, column 5, lines 26-30), and the performance of one athlete may be recorded as a standard to be used by other athletes who wish to emulate the performance of the first athlete along the same training course. (Brisson, column 2, lines 61-64).

Still within the Background section of Fry, Column 2, lines 5-10 further describes the Brisson patent by stating that if a user rides on many different routes, the cycle computer may not have enough memory to save all ride data, in which case the connector (65) may be used to transfer a larger number of pace files to an external computer such as a PC.

Again, there is no suggestion or teaching of comparing performance data on a first course to performance data on a second course that is located in a different geographic area than the first course. Even though multiple performance data on different routes are stored, there is no teaching or suggestion of comparing performance data along different geographically located courses with each other. According to the cited references, performance data along a course will be compared to other performance data along the same course.

NO. 187 P. 7

Sar NA 10/924 574

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)